

YOUR HONORS,
IN REGARDS TO THE DISCUSSION OF REDUCING
PUBLIC DEFENDERS CASE LOADS - THIS OF
COURSE IS A GOOD IDEA, JUST LIKE LESSENING
CLASSROOM SIZE AND SOCIAL CASE WORKERS
LOADS.

I DO BELIEVE A MORE IMPORTANT APPROACH
IS A CAP ON PUBLIC DEFENDERS EXPENDITURES
IN JUDICIAL DEFENSES.

EXAMPLES SUCH AS THE DEFENDANT MICHELE
ANDERSON FROM CARVATION, WHO KILLED HER
WHOLE FAMILY AT CHRISTMAS TIME, SHE WAS
ALLOWED TO FIRE HER WHOLE PD TEAM AND
START OVER. HOW MUCH DID THAT COST TAXPAYERS?
5 MILLION.

HOW ABOUT THE DEFENDANT THAT KILLED A
POLICE OFFICER AND WAS CRIPPLED BY POLICE
RETURNING FIRE. HE SURE, HAD A P.D. TEAM
WHICH SPENT TAXPAYER MONEY ON A CONSULTING
TEAM TO TEACH THEM HOW TO PICK A JURY.

THE P.D. PROGRAM IS AN HONORABLE IDEA,
BUT THE AVERAGE CITIZEN WHO ISN'T QUITE
POOR ENOUGH TO ACCESS P.D. DEFENSE DOESN'T
HAVE AN OPEN ENDED BANK ACCOUNT FOR
THEIR DEFENSE. WHERE IS THE EQUALITY
AND JUSTICE IN THIS SYSTEM?

I'M SURE THERE ARE OTHER EXAMPLES OF
THIS EXCESS IN LITIGATION.

THE P.D. CONCEPT WAS DESIGNED TO HELP
THOSE OF LOW INCOME WHO MIGHT OTHER
WISE BE OVERRUN BY A PROSECUTOR OR
COURT,

WITHOUT LEGAL REPRESENTATION; NOT TO
PROVIDE A "DREAM TEAM" DEFENSE WITH NO
ECONOMIC RESTRAINTS

IT'S POSSIBLE THAT CURTAILING THE UNWARRANTED
EXPENDITURES MIGHT FREE UP ENOUGH FUNDS
TO HIRE NEW P.D., THERE BY HELPING TO
RELIEVE THE CASE LOAD.

WITHOUT THIS APPROACH, CUTTING BACK CASE-
LOADS JUST BACKLOADS AN ALREADY OVERLOADED
COURT SYSTEM, THIS CAUSES A HUGE INCREASE
IN PCEA DRUGS... IS THIS JUSTICE?

YOU ASKED FOR OPINIONS AND COMMENTS
HERE'S MINE. THANK YOU
TOM SHEPHERD P.O. BOX 672
TONASKET WA. 98855

SHARROD
P.O. BOX 672
TONASKET WA 98856



RECEIVED
SEP 04 2024

Washington State
Supreme Court

WASH. STATE SUPREME COURT
P.O. BOX 40929
OLYMPIA WA. 98504-0929

985040929 8001

